NOTES FROM REVIEW OF THE TRANSCRIPTS

reviewed - February 2, 2023

1. Judge Wood told me that as a general rule, judge Ahern could not be sued.

I responded stating that there is an exception to that rule. I also stated that I would provide more information in an amended complaint.

1. Judge Wood asked if I was adding Ms. Thompson to the complaint. I responded saying that there was a pending lawsuit against Ms. Thompson. In that lawsuit, I requested a trial by jury. However, before my complaint could be heard, Ms. Thompson filed a new complaint against me, and she was able to have a separate hearing for that complaint. The issue was that I did not receive a trial by jury. Ms. Thompson was added so that should would have a chance to respond. Judge Wood told me that she could not be named in the complaint because there was no specific claim against her. (The reality is that she should have been named in the complaint, because the statute she used to sue me was unconstitutional. She should have been the actual responded. Not Kwame Raoule. According to the federal rules of civil procedure, Kwame was to be given notice, but he should not have been a respondent.)

Are there any transcripts for  4/5/2023?

1. I explained to judge wood that (“this is mostly about the appeal. None of it is really about the actual judgment … more so just to get the appeals record.)… (In order for me to appeal, I actually need the state court

Records… I think that you have jurisdiction to provide

injunctive relief according to Pulliam v. Allen which

basically says that you can provide injunctive relief… I'm asking for any remedy the Court can

provide because, essentially, what I'm trying to do is get the

court record so that I can appeal.)

5/16/2023 stricken and reset for 6/13/2023.

Reviewed June 13, 2023

1. Judge Wood told me that all defendants had to be named in the complaint.

I asked if we could hold off naming them until after a ruling was made for Ahern.

Reviewed - August 10, 2023

1. I named Gretchen Peterson in amended complaint. However, at this point the case had been focused on Ahern and Judge wood was close to making a decision on Ahern’s motion to dismiss. I decided to withdraw the amended complaint naming Gretchen because it would have undone all the progress that was made, and it would have delayed Judge woods ruling as it regards to Ahern. The plan was to file an amended complaint after a decision had been made on Ahern. Gretchen would have been served then. However, for reasons I can’t explain, a judge wood judgment was deferred. A judgment was entered much later by judge hajani.

Reviewed – October 10th 2023

1. Several notice of removals were filed. The last being April 24th 2023, docket 19th. Which the court clerks mislabeled as “BRIEF STATEMENT OF FACTS”. Ahern and the state court plaintiffs received a notice of removal. They were supposed to stop all proceedings. However both Ahern and the state court plaintiffs continued and issued a body attachment after receiving a notice of removal.
2. To give direction on the removal controversy, Judge wood indicated that she would issue a judgment before October 19th, however the judgment was never issued. Her exact comments were “and hopefully help Mr. Martin as he is deciding what he needs to do for his court date next week

and assist in that proceeding in general.”(see page 20)

Reviewed - November 30 2023

1. I explained to the court that Ahern issued a body attachment. “I even actually tried to purge his body attachment by going down to the clerk of the court and putting the money owed on a credit card, and they wouldn't accept any money.”…” I actually tried to pay on credit card and I actually filed a motion asking for a court date. They didn't give me a court date, and I said, "Hey, look, I have all of $1,500 in my bank account" and I asked for some type of payment arrangements, and they didn't assign a court date. S”.

Reviewed - February 8th 2024

1. Judge wood understood this case was about my ability to challenge Ahern’s judgments in state court “I believe, where Mr. Martin is

concerned about his ability to challenge orders regarding

college expenses and orders issued by Judge Ahern that he

believes have made it, as I understand it, difficult, if not

impossible, to challenge certain orders there.” I further explained “The main issue is my ability to appeal. You're not entering a judgment as regards to Ahern's college expenses. You're simply issuing a judgment saying that I can have the bystander's report so I can appeal Ahern's judgment in state court. So as regards to that, you have subject matter jurisdiction.”… “There's another appeal issue as regards to

getting the state court documents.

As I argue in my brief, they've been -- I allege

they've been intentionally altering documents. And when I go

to appeal, other documents have been altered.”